## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
Plaintiff, v. JUSTIN CHARLES MONROE,	Case No. 18-20632 Honorable Victoria A. Roberts
Defendant/	

## ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR COMPASSIONATE RELEASE [ECF No. 37]

reduction in sentence under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A), and after considering the applicable factors provided in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) and the applicable policy statements issued by the Sentencing Commission, IT IS ORDERED that the motion is: ☐ GRANTED ☐ The defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment of \_\_\_\_\_\_ is reduced to \_\_\_\_\_\_. If this sentence is less than the amount of time the defendant already served, the sentence is reduced to a time served; or  $\square$  Time served. If the defendant's sentence is reduced to time served: ☐ This order is stayed for up to fourteen days, for the verification of the defendant's residence and/or establishment of a release plan, to make appropriate

travel arrangements, and to ensure the defendant's safe release. The defendant shall be released as soon as a residence is verified, a release plan is established, appropriate travel arrangements are made, and it is safe for the defendant to travel. There shall be no delay in ensuring travel arrangements are made. If more than fourteen days are needed to make appropriate travel arrangements and ensure the defendant's safe release, the parties shall immediately notify the court and show cause why the stay should be extended; or ☐ There being a verified residence and an appropriate release plan in place, this order is stayed for up to fourteen days to make appropriate travel arrangements and to ensure the defendant's safe release. The defendant shall be released as soon as appropriate travel arrangements are made and it is safe for the defendant to travel. There shall be no delay in ensuring travel arrangements are made. If more than fourteen days are needed to make appropriate travel arrangements and ensure the defendant's safe release, then the parties shall immediately notify the court and show cause why the stay should be extended. ☐ The defendant must provide the complete address where the defendant will reside upon release to the probation office in the district where they will be released because it was not included in the motion for sentence reduction. ☐ Under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A), the defendant is ordered to serve a "special term" of probation or supervised release of months (not to exceed the unserved portion of the original term of imprisonment).

apply to the "special term" of supervision; or

☐ The defendant's previously imposed conditions of supervised release

		The conditions of t	-	rm" of supervi		lows:
	The defendant	s previously impos		of supervised		changed.
	The defendant	s previously impos	sed conditions	of supervised	release are mo	odified as
follows:						
	EDDED 1	1	1/	1	DIDECT	70 d
⊔ DEFI	EKKED pendii	ng supplemental bri	iefing and/or a	hearing. The	court DIREC	S the
United Sta	ates Attorney to	file a response on o	or before		<b>,</b>	along
with all B	ureau of Prisons	records (medical,	institutional, a	administrative)	relevant to th	is motion.
⊠ DEN	IED after com	plete review of the	motion on the	e merits.		
$\bowtie$	FACTORS CO	ONSIDERED (Opti	onal):			

Monroe's offense and criminal history make him a danger to the community. Thus, he is ineligible for release. *See* USSG § 1B1.13(2). Moreover, the 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) factors weigh heavily against release at this early stage of his sentence; he has only served 23 months of his 150-month

sentence, and less than 20 percent of his mandatory minimum. Given the dangerous nature of Monroe's crimes, such an early release would not reflect the seriousness of the offense, promote respect for the law, provide just punishment, afford adequate deterrence, protect the public, or avoid unwarranted sentencing disparities. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a).

DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE because the defendant has not exhausted all administrative remedies as required in 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A), nor have 30 days lapsed since receipt of the defendant's request by the warden of the defendant's facility.

IT IS ORDERED.

<u>s/ Victoria A. Roberts</u>Victoria A. RobertsUnited States District Judge

Dated: August 6, 2020